UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/618,201	07/11/2003	Gregory J. Wolff	015358-009200US	4177
TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER			EXAMINER	
			CHOI, MICHAEL P	
EIGHTH FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2621	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/12/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/618,201	WOLFF ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	MICHAEL CHOI	2621			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timustill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE!	\lambda. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>_</u> .				
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-15,35-40,55 and 56 is/are pending i 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-15,35-40,55 and 56 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicativity documents have been received in CPCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte			

Art Unit: 2621

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 5/4/09 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 2, 4-8, 9-13, 15, 35, 36, 38-40 and 55 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tsumagari et al. (US 6,360,057 B1) in view of Stonedahl (US 2002/0199198 A1).

Regarding Claim 1, Tsumagari et al. teaches a method for providing access to an information stream comprising:

Art Unit: 2621

receiving information representative of a plurality of event markers (Figs. 23, 24, 25, 27 – entry points), each event marker being associated with a plurality of time indices that are points in time in the information stream (in at least Figs. 14, 25, 27);

- for each event marker, producing representative images of segments of the information stream associated with respective time indices of said each event marker (in at least Figs. 35, 39 having title and thumbnail reproduced), wherein when a first event marker is associated with a first time index and a second time index, then a first representative image of a first segment of the information stream that includes the first time index is produced and a second representative image of a second segment of the information stream that includes the second time index is produced (in at least Figs. 35-37, 39 production of selection; Col. 31, lines 41-59),
- forming groups of segments, each group comprising those segments of the information stream having a time index associated with the same event marker (in at least Figs. 35-37, 39 – segments according to entry points); and
- for each group of segments:
 - printing on a printable medium a representative image for each segment comprising each group; and
 - printing on the printable medium a barcode image for said each segment the barcode image being associated with the time index of said each segment,
- wherein representative images are arranged according to an arrangement format (in at least Figs. 35, 39 - having title and thumbnail reproduced).

Stonedahl teaches wherein the user action is scanning of a barcode, wherein the marker is representative of the barcode that is scanned, wherein scanning the barcode more than once

produces one or more time indices associated with the barcode (Paragraphs [0038,0040] – selection based on barcode scanning by a number of selections based on time).

A person of ordinary skill in the art would have had good reason to pursue the known options of giving the user control over selecting through usage of a scanning a barcode. It would require no more than "ordinary skill and common sense," to give the user control over digitally and physically pointing and selecting to preferred portions of events to designate as desired portions.

Regarding Claim 2, Tsumagari et al. teaches the method of claim 1 wherein the arrangement format is determined automatically, absent user-provided arrangement information (Fig. 32, entry point by recorder; Col. 28, line 53 - Col. 29, line 34).

Regarding Claim 4, Tsumagari et al. teaches the method of claim 1 wherein each event marker is information produced by a user action (Fig. 32 – entry point by user; Col. 28, line 53 - Col. 29, line 34) and each associated time index is the time of occurrence of the user action (Figs. 14-16, 23 – time of entries; Fig. 27 – entry points per recorded time in various programs).

Regarding Claim 5, Tsumagari et al. teaches the method of claim 4 but fails to explicitly teach wherein the user action is scanning of a barcode, wherein the marker is representative of the barcode that is scanned, wherein scanning the barcode more than once produces one or more time indices associated with the barcode. Stonedahl teaches wherein the user action is scanning of a barcode, wherein the marker is representative of the barcode that is scanned, wherein scanning the barcode more than once produces one or more time indices associated

with the barcode (Paragraphs [0038,0040] – selection based on barcode scanning by a number of selections based on time).

A person of ordinary skill in the art would have had good reason to pursue the known options of giving the user control over selecting through usage of a scanning a barcode. It would require no more than "ordinary skill and common sense," to give the user control over digitally and physically pointing and selecting to preferred portions of events to designate as desired portions.

Regarding Claim 6, Tsumagari et al. teaches the method of claim 4 but fails to explicitly teach wherein the user action is speaking a phrase, wherein the event marker is representative of a digital representation of the phrase, wherein speaking the phrase more than once produces one or more time indices associated with the digital representation of the phrase. Stonedahl teaches wherein the user action is speaking a phrase, wherein the event marker is representative of a digital representation of the phrase, wherein speaking the phrase more than once produces one or more time indices associated with the digital representation of the phrase (Paragraphs [0038,0040] – selection based by sounds of participant by a number of selections based on time).

A person of ordinary skill in the art would have had good reason to pursue the known options of giving the user control over selecting through speaking. It would require no more than "ordinary skill and common sense," to give the user control over digitally and physically (by nature of tonal) pointing and selecting to preferred portions of events to designate as desired portions.

Regarding Claim 7, Tsumagari et al. teaches the method of claim 4 but fails to explicitly teach wherein the user action is a selecting a visual element with an input device, wherein the event marker is representative of the visual element, wherein selecting the visual element more than once produces one or more time indices associated with the visual element. Stonedahl teaches wherein the user action is a selecting a visual element with an input device, wherein the event marker is representative of the visual element, wherein selecting the visual element more than once produces one or more time indices associated with the visual element (Paragraphs [0038,0040,0043] – selection based on barcode scanning by a number of selections based on time).

A person of ordinary skill in the art would have had good reason to pursue the known options of giving the user control over selecting through usage of a scanning a barcode. It would require no more than "ordinary skill and common sense," to give the user control over digitally and physically (by means of visual cues) pointing and selecting to preferred portions of events to designate as desired portions.

Regarding Claim 8, Tsumagari et al. teaches the method of claim 1 wherein each event marker is further associated with a recording device (in at least Figs. 26, 29 – wherein all entry points are associated with device), wherein the method is applied only to those event markers that are associated with the same recording device (in at least Figs. 26, 29 – wherein all entry points are associated with device).

Regarding Claim 9, Tsumagari et al. teaches the method of claim 1 wherein a segment of the information stream spans a period of time relative to its time index (Figs. 14-16, 23 – period of time of entries).

Regarding Claim 10, Tsumagari et al. teaches the method of claim 1 further comprising recording the information stream (in at least Col. 1, lines 16-26), wherein the event markers and the time indices are recorded at the time of recording of the information stream (Figs. 14, 23-25, 27 – entry points within recorded time as listed and indexed).

Regarding Claim 11, Tsumagari et al. teaches the method of claim 1 wherein the information stream is a previous recording, the method further comprising recording the timestamps during playback of the information stream (Figs. 36, 37, 46, and 47).

Regarding Claim 12, Tsumagari et al. teaches the method of claim 1 wherein the information stream comprises one of continuous information and discrete information (in at least Figs. 2, 3 – wherein VOB, cells, VOBU's, etc have finite playback time).

Regarding Claim 15, Tsumagari et al. teaches a method for providing access to an information stream comprising:

- receiving information representative of a plurality of event markers (Figs. 23, 24, 25, 27 –
 entry points), each event marker associated with a plurality of time indices that are
 points in time in the information stream (in at least Figs. 14, 25, 27);
- producing representative images of segments of the information stream associated with respective time indices of the event markers (in at least Figs. 35, 39 - having title and thumbnail reproduced),
- forming groups of segments (in at least Figs. 35-37, 39 segments according to entry points), each group comprising those segments of the information stream associated

- receiving a source image comprising an image and annotative information for each event marker (in at least Figs. 35, 39 - having title and thumbnail reproduced); and
- for each event marker
 - printing on a printable medium the image and annotative information of said each event marker;
 - o printing on the printable medium the representative images; and
 - printing on the printable medium a barcode image corresponding to said each segment, the barcode image being associated with the time index of said each segment (in at least Figs. 35, 39 - having title and thumbnail reproduced).

Stonedahl teaches wherein the user action is scanning of a barcode, wherein the marker is representative of the barcode that is scanned, wherein scanning the barcode more than once produces one or more time indices associated with the barcode (Paragraphs [0038,0040] – selection based on barcode scanning by a number of selections based on time).

A person of ordinary skill in the art would have had good reason to pursue the known options of giving the user control over selecting through usage of a scanning a barcode. It would require no more than "ordinary skill and common sense," to give the user control over digitally and physically pointing and selecting to preferred portions of events to designate as desired portions.

Art Unit: 2621

Regarding Claim 35, Tsumagari et al. teaches a processor for providing access to an information stream comprising a data processing component operable to perform the method steps of:

- receiving at least a first information stream (Figs. 1-3 video stream of disc);
- receiving a plurality of event markers (Figs. 23, 24, 25, 27 entry points), the first event markers having timing information associated therewith (in at least Figs. 14, 25, 27);
- associating the first information stream with the event markers, including identifying a
 plurality of points in time in the first information stream based on the timing information
 ((Figs. 23, 24, 25, 27) associated with the event markers and associating the plurality of
 points in time in the first information stream with the first event markers (Figs. 23, 27 entry point for programming movie with multiple key frames in packet thereby multiple
 time stamps within entry point; Col. 10, lines 15-22);
- for each event marker, grouping together the points in time in the first information stream that are associated with said each event marker to produce groups of media segments (in at least Figs. 35-37, 39 segments according to entry points); and
- printingon a printable medium the event marker and respective associated groups of media segments (Fig. 38 – playback according to selection), including for each marker:
 - printing on the printable medium a representation of said each event marker (in at least Figs. 35, 39 - having title and thumbnail reproduced); and
 - o for each point in time in the group of media segments associated with said each event marker, printing on the printable medium a representative image of a portion of the first information stream associated with said each point in time (in at least Figs. 35, 39 having title and thumbnail reproduced), and printing a barcode image corresponding to the portion of the first information stream

associated with said each point in time (in at least Figs. 35, 39 - having title and thumbnail reproduced).

Page 10

Stonedahl teaches wherein the user action is scanning of a barcode, wherein the marker is representative of the barcode that is scanned, wherein scanning the barcode more than once produces one or more time indices associated with the barcode (Paragraphs [0038,0040] – selection based on barcode scanning by a number of selections based on time).

A person of ordinary skill in the art would have had good reason to pursue the known options of giving the user control over selecting through usage of a scanning a barcode. It would require no more than "ordinary skill and common sense," to give the user control over digitally and physically pointing and selecting to preferred portions of events to designate as desired portions.

Regarding Claim 36, Tsumagari et al. teaches the processor of claim 35 wherein the event markers further have device information associated therewith (Fig. 27 – creation of various playlists with entry points), the device information being indicative of the device which produced the first information stream (in at least Figs. 26, 29 – wherein all entry points are associated with device), wherein the step of grouping is performed on those the event markers that are associated with the same device information (in at least Figs. 26, 29 – wherein all entry points are associated with device).

Regarding Claim 38, Tsumagari et al. teaches the processor of claim 35 but fails to explicitly teach wherein the event markers are representative of scanned barcodes. Stonedahl teaches wherein the event markers are representative of scanned barcodes (Paragraphs

Art Unit: 2621

[0038,0040,0043] - selection based on barcode scanning by a number of selections based on time).

A person of ordinary skill in the art would have had good reason to pursue the known options of giving the user control over selecting through usage of a scanning a barcode. It would require no more than "ordinary skill and common sense," to give the user control over digitally and physically pointing and selecting to preferred portions of events to designate as desired portions.

Regarding Claim 39, Tsumagari et al. teaches the processor of claim 35 but fails to explicitly teach wherein the event markers are representative of selected graphics. Stonedahl teaches wherein the event markers are representative of selected graphics (Paragraphs [0038,0040,0043] - selection visually based by graphic barcode scanning by a number of selections based on time).

A person of ordinary skill in the art would have had good reason to pursue the known options of giving the user control over selecting through usage of a scanning a barcode. It would require no more than "ordinary skill and common sense," to give the user control over digitally and physically (by means of visual cues) pointing and selecting to preferred portions of events to designate as desired portions.

Regarding Claim 40, Tsumagari et al. teaches the processor of claim 35 but fails to explicitly teach wherein the event markers are representative of spoken phrases. Stonedahl teaches wherein the event markers are representative of spoken phrases (Paragraphs

[0038,0040] – selection based by sounds of participant by a number of selections based on time).

A person of ordinary skill in the art would have had good reason to pursue the known options of giving the user control over selecting through speaking. It would require no more than "ordinary skill and common sense," to give the user control over digitally and physically (by nature of tonal) pointing and selecting to preferred portions of events to designate as desired portions.

Regarding Claim 55, Tsumagari et al. teaches the method of claim 15 wherein the presenting includes forming a display on a display device (Fig. 35).

4. Claims 3, 14, 37 and 56 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tsumagari et al. (US 6,360,057 B1) in view of Koyama et al. (US 6,424,385 B1).

Regarding Claim 3, Tsumagari et al. teaches the method of claim 1 but fails to explicitly teach wherein each of the event markers is uniquely represented on a sheet, wherein the arrangement format is determined according to an arrangement of the event markers on the sheet. Koyama teaches wherein each of the event markers is uniquely represented on a sheet, wherein the arrangement format is determined according to an arrangement of the event markers on the sheet (Col. 7, lines 42-28 – printer printing image per one image on paper wherein only one time stamp applies).

A person of ordinary skill in the art would have had good reason to pursue the known options of allowing a physical copy of an image representative of an event to be printed onto a physical medium such as paper. It would require no more than "ordinary skill and common sense," to have selected portions of events distributed as images and printed onto a sheet.

Regarding Claim 14, Tsumagari et al. teaches the method of claim 1 but fails to explicitly teach wherein the step of presenting includes producing images on a printable medium. Koyama teaches wherein the step of presenting includes producing images on a printable medium (Col. 7, lines 42-28 – printer printing image per one image on paper).

A person of ordinary skill in the art would have had good reason to pursue the known options of allowing a physical copy of an image representative of an event to be printed onto a physical medium such as paper. It would require no more than "ordinary skill and common sense," to have selected portions of events distributed as images and printed onto a sheet.

Regarding Claim 37, Tsumagari et al. teaches the processor of claim 35 but fails to explicitly teach wherein presenting the groups of media segments comprises, for each group of media segments, producing an image representative of each media segment and forming the image on a printable medium. Koyama teaches wherein presenting the groups of media segments comprises, for each group of media segments, producing an image representative of each media segment and forming the image on a printable medium (Col. 7, lines 42-28 – printer printing image per one image on paper wherein only one time stamp applies).

A person of ordinary skill in the art would have had good reason to pursue the known options of allowing a physical copy of an image representative of an event to be printed onto a

physical medium such as paper. It would require no more than "ordinary skill and common sense," to have selected portions of events distributed as images and printed onto a sheet.

Regarding Claim 56, Tsumagari et al. teaches the method of claim 15 but fails to explicitly teach wherein the presenting includes forming images on a printable medium. Koyama teaches wherein the step of presenting includes producing images on a printable medium (Col. 7, lines 42-28 – printer printing image per one image on paper).

A person of ordinary skill in the art would have had good reason to pursue the known options of allowing a physical copy of an image representative of an event to be printed onto a physical medium such as paper. It would require no more than "ordinary skill and common sense," to have selected portions of events distributed as images and printed onto a sheet.

Conclusion

1. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2621

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MICHAEL CHOI whose telephone number is (571) 272-9594. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9am - 5:30pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marsha Banks-Harold can be reached on (571) 272-7905. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Michael Choi Examiner Art Unit 2621

/Marsha D. Banks-Harold/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2621